APPENDIX A

- Resource Agency Coordination

Since the Publication of the Environmental Assessment

November 16, 2010: FHWA submittal of EA and Section 4(f) Evaluation to U.S. Department of the Interior

December 8, 2010: FHWA Legal Sufficiency Review Section 4(f) Evaluation

January 12, 2011: U.S. Department of the Interior submittal of comments on EA and Section 4(f)

Evaluation



Kentucky Division Office José M. Sepúlveda, Division Administrator

November 16, 2010

330 West Broadway Frankfort, KY 40601 PH. (502) 223-6720 FAX (502) 223-6735

Mr. Willie R. Taylor, Director Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance U.S. Department of the Interior Main Interior Building, MS 2462 1849 C Street, NW Washington, DC 20240

Dear Mr. Taylor:

Enclosed is a CD-ROM containing the approved Environmental Assessment (EA) and 4(f) determination for the US 127 Reconstruction project in Clinton and Russell Counties, Kentucky. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has reviewed the enclosed documents and on June 24, 2010, approved the EA. Pursuant to 23 CFR 771.135(i) the FHWA is requesting that the U.S. Department of the Interior review and comment on the enclosed NEPA document and 4(f) determination.

If you have any questions please contact Anthony Goodman at anthony.goodman@dot.gov or (502) 223-6742.

Sincerely yours,

Anthony Goodman Environmental Specialist

Enclosure

cc: David Waldner (KYTC)



From: Anthony.Goodman@dot.gov

Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2010 3:47 PM

To: Tim.Foreman@ky.gov; Amanda.Abner@ky.gov; David.Waldner@ky.gov; Springer, Tom;

Wehner, Jane

Subject: FW: US 127 Reconstruction Project 4F

From: Hardiman-Tobin, Gloria (FHWA)

Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2010 3:39 PM

To: Goodman, Anthony (FHWA)

Cc: Bender, Carol (FHWA); Sepulveda, Jose (FHWA) Subject: RE: US 127 Reconstruction Project 4F

Anthony,

Pursuant to 23 C.F.R. § 774.7(d), I have reviewed the Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation for the U.S. 127 Reconstruction Project in Clinton and Russell Counties, KY for legal sufficiency.

I am tentatively of the opinion that: (1) there are no feasible and prudent avoidance alternatives, (2) the project includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the Section 4(f) property(ies), and (3) the project will comply with other related laws including Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (if applicable). This tentative conclusion is based on the information provided in the Mitigation, Avoidance Alternatives, Measures to Minimize Harm, and Coordination sections of the document.

Once you have concluded the coordination process and public comment period. Please forward the Final Section 4(f) to my attention.

Overall, I thought the document was sufficiently documented and well written.

Thank you,

Gloria Hardiman-Tobin Assistant Chief Counsel-SLS



United States Department of the Interior



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance Richard B. Russell Federal Building 75 Spring Street, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303

ER 10/992

January 12, 2011

Mr. Jose Sepulveda Division Administrator Federal Highway Administration 330 West Broadway Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Re: Comments for the Draft Environmental Assessment and Section 4(f) for US-127

Reconstruction and Relocation, KY-90 to the Jamestown Bypass

Dear Mr. Sepulveda:

The Department of the Interior (Department) has reviewed the Draft Environmental Assessment and Section 4(f) evaluation for the proposed US-127 Reconstruction and Relocation, KY-90 to the Jamestown Bypass located in Clinton and Russell Counties, Kentucky. The Department offers the following comments and recommendations for your consideration.

General Comments

We welcome this opportunity to cooperate with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) in evaluating the proposed road improvements.

Section 4(f) Comments

The draft Section 4(f) evaluation adequately describes a range of avoidance alternatives, the affected Section 4(f) resources, and discloses potential project impacts to those resources.

The preferred alternative, Alternative D, would adversely affect one eligible National Register of Historic Places resource. A finding of Adverse Effect was found for the Creelsboro Rural Historic District.

The draft Section 4(f) evaluation details an ongoing coordination effort with the Kentucky State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic

Preservation Act. The document notes that discussions with the SHPO have been initiated to complete a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) to address measures to mitigate impacts to the District.

Summary Comments

The Department has no objection to Section 4(f) approval of this project, contingent on the subsequent full execution of the requirements identified in the proposed MOA with the SHPO.

The Department has a continuing interest in working with the FHWA and the KYTC to ensure that impacts to resources of concern to the Department are adequately addressed. For matters related to Section 4(f) resources, please contact the National Park Service, Southeast Regional Office; Atlanta Federal Center, 1924 Building; 100 Alabama Street, S.W.; Atlanta, Georgia, 30303; telephone (404) 507-5710. I can be reached on (404) 331-4524 or via email at gregory_hogue@ios.doi.gov.

Sincerely,

Gregory Hogue

Regional Environmental Officer

cc: Jerry Ziewitz – FWS
David Vela – NPS
Brenda Johnson – USGS
OEPC – WASH